UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2009

Commission File Numbers: 333-57285-01 333-57285

Mediacom LLC Mediacom Capital Corporation*

(Exact names of Registrants as specified in their charters)

New York New York (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 06-1433421 06-1513997 (I.R.S. Employer Identification Numbers)

100 Crystal Run Road Middletown, New York 10941 (Address of principal executive offices)

(845) 695-2600 (Registrants' telephone number)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrants (1) have filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrants were required to file such reports), and (2) have been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. o Yes \square No

Note: As a voluntary filer, not subject to the filing requirements, the Registrants have filed all reports under Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrants have submitted electronically and posted on their respective corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrants were required to submit and post such files). o Yes o No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrants are large accelerated filers, accelerated filers, non-accelerated filers, or smaller reporting companies. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

o Large accelerated filers

o Accelerated filers

☑ Non-accelerated filers

o Smaller reporting companies

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrants are shell companies (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). o Yes 🗆 No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of the Registrants' common stock: Not Applicable

* Mediacom Capital Corporation meets the conditions set forth in General Instruction H (1) (a) and (b) of Form 10-Q and is therefore filing this form with the reduced disclosure format.

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES

FORM 10-Q FOR THE PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| PART I | |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u> | 4 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited) March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 | 4 |
| Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 | 5 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 | 6 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) | 7 |
| Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 14 |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk | 24 |
| Item 4. Controls and Procedures | 24 |
| PART II | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 25 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 25 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 25 |
| Exhibit 31.1 | |
| Exhibit 31.2 Exhibit 32.1 | |
| Exhibit 32.2 | |

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is for the three months ended March 31, 2009. Any statement contained in a prior periodic report shall be deemed to be modified or superseded for purposes of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to the extent that a statement contained herein modifies or supersedes such statement. This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q modifies and supersedes periodic reports filed before it. The Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") allows us to "incorporate by reference" information that we file with them, which means that we can disclose important information to you by referring you directly to those documents. Information incorporated by reference is considered to be part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. In addition, information that we file with the SEC in the future will automatically update and supersede information contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Throughout this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, we refer to Mediacom LLC as "Mediacom;" and Mediacom and its consolidated subsidiaries as "we," "us" and "our."

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

You should carefully review the information contained in this Quarterly Report and in other reports or documents that we file from time to time with the SEC.

In this Quarterly Report, we state our beliefs of future events and of our future financial performance. In some cases, you can identify those so-called "forward-looking statements" by words such as "anticipates," "believes," "continue," "estimates," "expects," "may," "plans," "potential," "predicts," "should" or "will," or the negative of those words and other comparable words. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from historical results or those we anticipate, many of which are beyond our control. Factors that could cause actual results to differ from those contained in the forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to: competition for video, high-speed data and phone customers; our ability to achieve anticipated customer and revenue growth and to successfully introduce new products and services; greater than anticipated effects of economic downturns and other factors which may negatively affect our customers' demand for our products and services; increasing programming costs and delivery expenses related to our products and services; changes in consumer preferences, laws and regulations or technology that may cause us to change our operational strategies; changes in assumptions underlying our critical accounting polices which could impact our results; fluctuations in short term interest rates which may cause our interest expense to vary from quarter to quarter; our ability to generate sufficient cash flow to meet our debt service obligations; liquidity and overall instability in the credit markets which may impact our ability to refinance our debt, as our revolving credit facility expires in September 2011 and other substantial debt becomes due in 2013 and beyond, in the same amounts and on the same terms as we currently experience; and the other risks and uncertainties discussed in this Quarterly Report and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 and other reports or documents that we file from time to time with the SEC. Statements included in this Quarterly Report are based upon information known to us as of the date that this Quarterly Report is filed with the SEC, and we assume no obligation to update or alter our forward-looking statements made in this Quarterly Report, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by applicable federal securities laws.

PART I

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(All dollar amounts in thousands) (Unaudited)

| | March 31, 2009 | | De | cember 31, 2008 |
|---|-------------------|-----------|----|--------------------|
| ASSETS | | | | |
| CURRENT ASSETS | | | | |
| Cash | \$ | 13,929 | \$ | 10,060 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$798 and \$1,127 | | 33,589 | | 36,033 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | | 8,570 | | 7,575 |
| Total current assets | | 56,088 | | 53,668 |
| Preferred equity investment in affiliated company | | 150,000 | | 150,000 |
| Investment in cable television systems: | | | | |
| Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$1,026,718 and | | | | |
| \$1,102,831 | | 703,552 | | 718,467 |
| Franchise rights | | 616,807 | | 550,709 |
| Goodwill | | 37,067 | | 16,642 |
| Subscriber lists, net of accumulated amortization of \$117,029 and \$132,305 | | 1,248 | | 761 |
| Total investment in cable television systems | | 1,358,674 | | 1,286,579 |
| Other assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$14,849 and \$14,440 | | 8,096 | | 8,878 |
| Total assets | \$ | 1,572,858 | \$ | 1,499,125 |
| LIABILITIES AND MEMBERS' DEFICIT | _ | | _ | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | | | | |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities | \$ | 229,230 | \$ | 238,337 |
| Deferred revenue | | 24,208 | | 24,828 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | | 37,000 | | 30,500 |
| Total current liabilities | | 290,438 | | 293,665 |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | | 1,506,000 | | 1,489,500 |
| Other non-current liabilities | | 22,335 | | 20,221 |
| Total liabilities | | 1,818,773 | | 1,803,386 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 8) | | | | |
| MEMBERS' DEFICIT | | | | |
| Capital contributions | | 441,274 | | 394,517 |
| Accumulated deficit | | (687,189) | | (698,778) |
| Total members' deficit | | (245,915) | | (304,261) |
| Total liabilities and members' deficit | \$ | 1,572,858 | \$ | 1,499,125 |

The accompanying notes to the unaudited financial statements are an integral part of these statements

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(All amounts in thousands) (Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | |
|--|------------------------------|----|----------|
| | 2009 | | 2008 |
| Revenues | \$ 161,816 | \$ | 148,939 |
| Costs and expenses: | | | |
| Service costs (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) | 72,180 | | 63,503 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 27,233 | | 26,565 |
| Management fee expense | 2,984 | | 2,929 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 28,593 | | 29,069 |
| Operating income | 30,826 | | 26,873 |
| Interest expense, net | (22,046) | | (26,702) |
| Loss on derivatives, net | (519) | | (8,898) |
| Loss on sale of cable systems, net | (311) | | (170) |
| Investment income from affiliate | 4,500 | | 4,500 |
| Other expense, net | (861) | | (984) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 11,589 | \$ | (5,381) |

The accompanying notes to the unaudited financial statements are an integral part of these statements

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(All amounts in thousands) (Unaudited)

| | | Three Months Ended March 31, | | |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------|----------------------|
| | _ | 2009 | | 2008 |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 11,589 | \$ | (5,381) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash flows provided by operating activities: | | , | | (=,==) |
| Depreciation and amortization | | 28,593 | | 29,069 |
| Loss on derivatives, net | | 519 | | 8,898 |
| Loss on sale of cable systems, net | | 310 | | 170 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | | 409 | | 526 |
| Share-based compensation | | 138 | | 136 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions: | | | | |
| Accounts receivable, net | | 2,134 | | 1,178 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | | 900 | | (155) |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities | | (8,665) | | 3,768 |
| Deferred revenue | | (620) | | 960 |
| Other non-current liabilities | | (63) | | (381) |
| Net cash flows provided by operating activities | \$ | 35,244 | \$ | 38,788 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES: Capital expenditures Net cash flows used in investing activities | <u>\$</u> \$ | (23,925) (23,925) | \$ \$ | (27,879) (27,879) |
| ivet cash nows used in investing activities | <u> </u> | (23,923) | Ф | (27,079) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | | | |
| New borrowings | \$ | 131,625 | \$ | 31,000 |
| Repayment of debt | | (108,625) | | (32,625) |
| Capital distributions from parent (Notes 2, 9) | | 80,498 | | _ |
| Capital contributions to parent | | (110,000) | | _ |
| Other financing activities — book overdrafts | | (948) | | (9,208) |
| Net cash flows used in financing activities | \$ | (7,450) | \$ | (10,833) |
| Net increase in cash | | 3,869 | | 76 |
| CASH, beginning of period | | 10,060 | | 9,585 |
| CASH, end of period | \$ | 13,929 | \$ | 9,661 |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: | | | | |
| Cash paid during the period for interest, net of amounts capitalized | \$ | 38,190 | \$ | 41,004 |
| NON-CASH TRANSACTIONS — FINANCING: | | | | |
| Exchange of cable systems with affiliate (Note 9) | \$ | 108,643 | \$ | _ |

The accompanying notes to the unaudited financial statements are an integral part of these statements

MEDIACOM LLC AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. ORGANIZATION

Basis of Preparation of Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements

Mediacom LLC ("Mediacom," and collectively with its subsidiaries, "we," "our" or "us"), a New York limited liability company wholly-owned by Mediacom Communications Corporation ("MCC"), is involved in the acquisition and operation of cable systems serving smaller cities and towns in the United States.

We have prepared these unaudited consolidated financial statements in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). In the opinion of management, such statements include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals and adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of our consolidated results of operations and financial position for the interim periods presented. The accounting policies followed during such interim periods reported are in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America and are consistent with those applied during annual periods. For a summary of our accounting policies and other information, refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. The results of operations for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that might be expected for future interim periods or for the full year ending December 31, 2009. Effective January 1, 2008, we adopted SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements." See Note 2.

We rely on our parent, MCC, for various services such as corporate and administrative support. Our financial position, results of operations and cash flows could differ from those that would have resulted had we operated autonomously or as an entity independent of MCC.

Mediacom Capital Corporation ("Mediacom Capital"), a New York corporation wholly-owned by us, co-issued, jointly and severally with us, public debt securities. Mediacom Capital has no operations, revenues or cash flows and has no assets, liabilities or stockholders' equity on its balance sheet, other than a one-hundred dollar receivable from an affiliate and the same dollar amount of common stock. Therefore, separate financial statements have not been presented for this entity.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year amounts to conform to the current year's presentation.

2. RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements." SFAS No. 157 establishes a single authoritative definition of fair value sets out a framework for measuring fair value and expands on required disclosures about fair value measurement. Effective January 1, 2008, we adopted SFAS No. 157 for our financial assets and liabilities. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position ("FSP") No. FAS 157-2, "Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157," which delays the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for nonfinancial assets and liabilities, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually), to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years. We have evaluated the impact of our nonfinancial assets and liabilities which include goodwill and other intangible assets. We adopted SFAS No. 157 for nonfinancial assets and liabilities on January 1, 2009. SFAS No. 157 establishes a framework for measuring fair value under generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurement. The adoption of SFAS No. 157 on January 1, 2008 and the delayed adoption on January 1, 2009 did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

The following sets forth our financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at March 31, 2009. These assets and liabilities have been categorized according to the three-level fair value hierarchy established by SFAS No. 157, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value.

- Level 1 Quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2 Observable market based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data.
- Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data.

As of March 31, 2009, our liabilities under our interest rate exchange agreements, net, were valued at \$33.3 million using Level 2 inputs.

| | Fair Value as of March 31, 2009 | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------|----|---------|----|-------|----|-------|----|--------|
| | Le | vel 1 | I | Level 2 | Le | vel 3 | Le | vel 4 | | Total |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate exchange agreements | \$ | _ | \$ | 33,345 | \$ | _ | \$ | _ | \$ | 33,345 |
| | \$ | | \$ | 33,345 | \$ | _ | \$ | | \$ | 33,345 |

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities — Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115." SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. This Statement is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. We adopted SFAS No. 159 as of January 1, 2008. We did not elect the fair value option of SFAS No. 159.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), "Business Combinations," which replaces SFAS No. 141, and requires that all business combinations be accounted for by applying the acquisition method. Under the acquisition method, the acquirer recognizes and measures the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any contingent consideration and contractual contingencies, as a whole, at their fair value as of the acquisition date, and all transaction costs are expensed as incurred. However, with a transfer of businesses between two entities under common control, the results of operations of the acquired entity for the current reporting period are reported as though the acquisition date had occurred as of the beginning of such period. SFAS No. 141(R) will be applied prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning after December 15, 2008. We adopted SFAS No. 141(R) as of January 1, 2009. See Note 9.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, "Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities — an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133." SFAS No. 161 requires enhanced disclosures about an entity's derivative and hedging activities and thereby improves the transparency of financial reporting. SFAS No. 161 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008, with early application encouraged. We have completed our evaluation of SFAS No. 161 and determined that the impact of adoption had on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations was not material.

3. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

| | March 31, 2009 | December 31, 2008 |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|
| Cable systems, equipment and subscriber devices | \$ 1,657,674 | \$ 1,743,864 |
| Vehicles | 35,255 | 36,295 |
| Furniture, fixtures and office equipment | 21,010 | 22,889 |
| Buildings and leasehold improvements | 14,812 | 16,706 |
| Land and land improvements | 1,519 | 1,544 |
| | 1,730,270 | 1,821,298 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (1,026,718) | (1,102,831) |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | \$ 703,552 | \$ 718,467 |

Change in Estimate — Useful lives

Effective July 1, 2008, we changed the estimated useful lives of certain plant and equipment within our cable systems in connection with our deployment of all digital video technology both in the network and at the customer's home. These changes in asset lives were based on our plans and our experience thus far in executing such plans, to deploy all digital video technology across certain of our cable systems. This technology affords us the opportunity to increase network capacity without costly upgrades and, as such, extends the useful lives of cable plant by four years. We have also begun to provide all digital set-top boxes to our customer base as part of this all digital network deployment. In connection with the all digital set-top launch, we have reviewed the asset lives of our customer premise equipment and determined that their useful lives should be extended by two years. While the timing and extent of current deployment plans are subject to modification, management believes that extending the useful lives is appropriate and will be subject to ongoing analysis. The weighted average useful lives of such fixed assets changed as follows:

| | Useful lives | (in years) |
|----------------------------|--------------|------------|
| | From | To |
| Plant and equipment | 12 | 16 |
| Customer premise equipment | 5 | 7 |

These changes were made on a prospective basis effective July 1, 2008 and resulted in a reduction of depreciation expense and a corresponding increase in net income of approximately \$2.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009.

4. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE, ACCRUED EXPENSES AND OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES

Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

| | M | larch 31, 2009 | De | cember 31, 2008 |
|--|----|-------------------|----|--------------------|
| Accounts payable — affiliates | \$ | 119,762 | \$ | 111,070 |
| Accrued programming costs | | 17,634 | | 17,175 |
| Liability under interest rate exchange agreements | | 16,861 | | 18,519 |
| Accrued interest | | 12,762 | | 28,377 |
| Accrued payroll and benefits | | 11,701 | | 10,706 |
| Accrued service costs | | 9,188 | | 8,241 |
| Accrued taxes and fees | | 8,817 | | 13,224 |
| Accrued property, plant and equipment | | 7,571 | | 8,037 |
| Book overdrafts (1) | | 6,827 | | 7,782 |
| Subscriber advance payments | | 6,153 | | 5,523 |
| Accrued telecommunications costs | | 2,996 | | 2,788 |
| Accounts payable | | 1,290 | | 416 |
| Other accrued expenses | | 7,668 | | 6,479 |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities | \$ | 229,230 | \$ | 238,337 |

⁽¹⁾ Book overdrafts represent outstanding checks in excess of funds on deposit at our disbursement accounts. We transfer funds from our depository accounts to our disbursement accounts upon daily notification of checks presented for payment. Changes in book overdrafts are reported as part of cash flows from financing activities in our consolidated statement of cash flows.

5. DEBT

Debt consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

| | M | Iarch 31, 2009 | De | cember 31, 2008 |
|---|----|-------------------|----|--------------------|
| Bank credit facility | \$ | 918,000 | \$ | 895,000 |
| 7 ⁷ / ₈ % senior notes due 2011 | | 125,000 | | 125,000 |
| 9½% senior notes due 2013 | | 500,000 | | 500,000 |
| | \$ | 1,543,000 | \$ | 1,520,000 |
| Less: Current portion | | 37,000 | | 30,500 |
| Total long-term debt | \$ | 1,506,000 | \$ | 1,489,500 |

Bank Credit Facility

The average interest rates on outstanding debt under our bank credit facility (the "credit facility") as of March 31, 2009 and 2008 were 3.8% and 5.5%, respectively, including the effect of the interest rate exchange agreements discussed below. Continued access to our credit facility is dependant upon our compliance with the covenants of such credit facility, principally the requirement that we maintain a maximum ratio of total senior debt to cash flow, as defined in the credit agreement, of 6.0 to 1.0.

As of March 31, 2009, we had unused revolving credit commitments of \$280.5 million under our credit facility, all of which could be borrowed and used for general corporate purposes based on the terms and conditions of our debt arrangements. All of our revolver commitments expire on September 30, 2011, and are not subject to scheduled reductions prior to maturity.

As of March 31, 2009, approximately \$10.9 million of letters of credit were issued under our credit facility to various parties as collateral for our performance relating to insurance and franchise requirements.

Interest Rate Swaps

We use interest rate exchange agreements, or interest rate swaps, in order to fix the rate of the applicable Eurodollar portion of debt under our credit facility to reduce the potential volatility in our interest expense that would otherwise result from changes in market interest rates. As of March 31, 2009, we had current interest rate swaps with various banks pursuant to which the interest rate on \$500 million was fixed at a weighted average rate of 4.6%. As of the same date, about 73% of our total outstanding indebtedness was at fixed market rates, or subject to interest rate protection. Our current interest rate swaps are scheduled to expire in the amounts of \$300 million and \$200 million during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010, respectively.

We have entered into forward starting interest rate swaps that will fix rate for a two year period at a weighted average rate of 3.2% on \$300 million of floating rate debt, which will commence during the balance of 2009, and 2.7% on \$200 million of floating rate debt, which will commence during 2010. We also entered into forward starting interest rate swaps that will fix rates for a three year period at a weighted average rate of 2.8% on \$200 million of floating rate debt, which will commence during the remainder of 2009.

Although we may be exposed to future losses in the event of counterparties' non-performance, we do not expect such losses, if any, to be material. Our interest rate swaps have not been designated as hedges for accounting purposes, and have been accounted for on a mark-to-market basis as of, and for, the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008.

The fair value of our interest rate swaps is the estimated amount that we would receive or pay to terminate such agreements, taking into account market interest rates and the remaining time to maturities. As of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, based on the mark-to-market valuation, we recorded on our consolidated balance sheets an accumulated liability for derivatives of \$33.3 million and \$32.8 million, respectively. As a result of the mark-to-market valuations on these interest rate swaps, we recorded a net loss on derivatives of \$0.5 million and \$8.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Senior Notes

We have issued senior notes totaling \$625 million as of March 31, 2009. The indentures governing our senior notes contain financial and other covenants, though they are generally less restrictive than those found in our credit facility, and do not require us to maintain any financial ratios. Principal covenants include a limitation on the incurrence of additional indebtedness based upon a maximum ratio of total indebtedness to cash flow, as defined in these agreements, of 7.0 to 1.0. These agreements also contain limitations on dividends, investments and distributions.

Covenant Compliance and Debt Ratings

For all periods through March 31, 2009, we were in compliance with all of the covenants under our credit facility and senior note arrangements. There are no covenants, events of default, borrowing conditions or other terms in our credit facility or senior note arrangements that are based on changes in our credit rating assigned by any rating agency.

6. MEMBERS' DEFICIT

Share-based Compensation

Total share-based compensation expense was as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | - | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|--|----|---------------------------------|------|-----|--|
| | 2 | 800 | 2007 | | |
| Share-based compensation expense by type of award: | | | | | |
| Employee stock options | \$ | 8 | \$ | 21 | |
| Employee stock purchase plan | | 27 | | 12 | |
| Restricted stock units | | 103 | | 103 | |
| Total share-based compensation expense | \$ | 138 | \$ | 136 | |

During the three months ended March 31, 2009, 76,000 restricted stock units and no stock options were granted under MCC's compensation programs. Each of the restricted stock units and stock options in MCC's stock compensation programs are exchangeable and exercisable, respectively, into a share of MCC's Class A common stock. The weighted average fair values associated with these grants were \$4.92 per restricted stock unit. During the three months ended March 31, 2009, approximately 55,000 restricted stock units were vested and no stock options were exercised.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Under MCC's employee stock purchase program, all employees are allowed to participate in the purchase of shares of MCC's Class A common stock at a 15% discount on the date of the allocation. Shares purchased by employees under MCC's plan amounted to approximately 31,000 and 25,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The net proceeds to us were approximately \$0.1 million for each of the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008.

7. INVESTMENT IN AFFILIATED COMPANY

We have a \$150 million preferred equity investment in Mediacom Broadband LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of MCC. The preferred equity investment has a 12% annual cash dividend, payable quarterly. During each of the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, we received in aggregate \$4.5 million in cash dividends on the preferred equity.

8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Legal Proceedings

We are named as a defendant in a putative class action, captioned *Gary Ogg and Janice Ogg v. Mediacom LLC*, pending in the Circuit Court of Clay County, Missouri, originally filed in April 2001. The lawsuit alleges that we, in areas where there was no cable franchise failed to obtain permission from landowners to place our fiber interconnection cable notwithstanding the possession of agreements or permission from other third parties. While the parties continue to contest liability, there also remains a dispute as to the proper measure of damages. Based on a report by their experts, the plaintiffs claim compensatory damages of approximately \$14.5 million. Legal fees, prejudgment interest, potential punitive damages and other costs could increase that estimate to approximately \$26.0 million. The plaintiffs recently proposed an alternative damage theory of \$42.0 million in compensatory damages. Notwithstanding the verdict in the trial described below, we remain unable to reasonably determine the amount of our final liability in this lawsuit. Prior to trial our experts estimated our liability to be within the range of approximately \$0.1 million to \$2.3 million. This estimate does not include any estimate of damages for prejudgment interest, attorneys' fees or punitive damages.

On March 9, 2009, a jury trial commenced solely for the claim of Gary and Janice Ogg, the designated class representatives. On March 18, 2009, the jury rendered a verdict in favor of Gary and Janice Ogg setting compensatory damages of \$8,863 and punitive damages of \$35,000. This verdict is not yet final. Although we believe that the particular circumstances of each class member may result in a different measure of damages for each member, if the same measure of compensatory damages was used for each member, the aggregate compensatory damages would be approximately \$16.2 million plus the possibility of an award of attorney's fees, prejudgment interest, and punitive damages. We intend to file motions with respect to the verdict and vigorously defend against the claims made by the other members of the class, including at trial, and on appeal, if necessary.

We believe that the amount of actual liability would not have a significant effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, cash flows or business. There can be no assurance, however, that the actual liability ultimately determined for all members of the class would not exceed our estimated range or any amount derived from the verdict rendered on March 19, 2009. We have tendered the lawsuit to our insurance carrier for defense and indemnification. The carrier has agreed to defend us under a reservation of rights, and a declaratory judgment action is pending regarding the carrier's defense and coverage responsibilities.

9. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTION

Share Exchange Agreement between MCC and an affiliate of Morris Communications

On September 7, 2008, MCC entered into a Share Exchange Agreement (the "Exchange Agreement") with Shivers Investments, LLC ("Shivers") and Shivers Trading & Operating Company ("STOC"). Both STOC and Shivers are affiliates of Morris Communications Company, LLC ("Morris Communications").

On February 13, 2009, MCC completed the Exchange Agreement pursuant to which it exchanged 100% of the shares of stock of a wholly-owned subsidiary, which held approximately \$110 million of cash and non-strategic cable systems serving approximately 25,000 basic subscribers contributed to MCC by us, for 28,309,674 shares of MCC Class A common stock held by Shivers.

Asset Transfer Agreement with MCC and Mediacom Broadband

On February 11, 2009, certain of our operating subsidiaries executed an Asset Transfer Agreement (the "Transfer Agreement") with MCC and the operating subsidiaries of Mediacom Broadband, pursuant to which certain of our cable systems located in Florida, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri and Wisconsin, which serve approximately 45,900 basic subscribers would be exchanged for certain of Mediacom Broadband's cable systems located in Illinois, which serve approximately 42,200 basic subscribers, and a cash payment of \$8.2 million (the "Asset Transfer"). We believe the Asset Transfer will better align our customer base geographically, making our cable systems more clustered and allowing for more effective management, administration, controls and reporting of our field operations. The Asset Transfer was completed on February 13, 2009 (the "transfer date").

As part of the Transfer Agreement, we contributed to MCC cable systems located in Western North Carolina, which serve approximately 25,000 basic subscribers. These cable systems were part of the Exchange Agreement noted above. In connection therewith, we received a \$74 million cash contribution on February 12, 2009, of which funds had been contributed to MCC by Mediacom Broadband on the same date.

In total, we received \$82.2 million under the Transfer Agreement (the "Transfer Proceeds"), which were used by us to repay a portion of the outstanding balance under the revolving commitments of our operating subsidiaries' bank credit facility.

On February 12, 2009, after giving effect to the debt repayment funded by the Transfer Proceeds, our operating subsidiaries borrowed approximately \$110 million under the revolving commitments of our bank credit facility. This represented net new borrowings of about \$28 million. On February 12, 2009, we contributed approximately \$110 million to MCC to fund its cash obligation under the Exchange Agreement.

The net assets of the cable systems we received as part of the Asset Transfer were accounted for as a transfer of businesses under common control in accordance with SFAS No. 141(R). Under this method of accounting: (i) the net assets we received have been recorded at Mediacom Broadband's carrying amounts; (ii) the net assets of the cable systems we transferred to Mediacom Broadband through MCC were removed from our consolidated balance sheet at net book value on the transfer date; (iii) for the cable systems we received, we recorded their results of operations as if the transfer date was January 1, 2009; and (iv) for the cable systems we transferred to Mediacom Broadband through MCC, we ceased recording those results of operations as of the transfer date. See Note 2.

We recognized an additional \$5.5 million in revenues and \$1.7 million of net income, for the period January 1, 2009 through the transfer date, because we recorded the results of operations for the cable systems we received as part of the Asset Transfer, as if the transfer date was January 1, 2009. This \$1.7 million of cash flows was recorded under the caption capital distributions from parent on our consolidated statements of cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2009.

The financial statements for the periods prior to January 1, 2009 were not adjusted for the receipt of net assets because the net assets did not meet the definition of a business under generally accepted accounting principles in effect prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 141(R).

10. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

In accordance with SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," the amortization of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets is prohibited and requires such assets to be tested annually for impairment, or more frequently if impairment indicators arise. We have determined that our cable franchise rights and goodwill are indefinite-lived assets and therefore not amortizable.

We directly assess the value of cable franchise rights for impairment under SFAS No. 142 by utilizing a discounted cash flow methodology. In performing an impairment test in accordance with SFAS No. 142, we make assumptions, such as future cash flow expectations and other future benefits related to cable franchise rights, which are consistent with the expectations of buyers and sellers of cable systems in determining fair value. If the determined fair value of our cable franchise rights is less than the carrying amount on the financial statements, an impairment charge would be recognized for the difference between the fair value and the carrying value of such assets.

Goodwill impairment is determined using a two-step process. The first step compares the fair value of a reporting unit with our carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value of a reporting unit exceeds our carrying amount, goodwill of the reporting unit is considered not impaired and the second step is unnecessary. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds our fair value, the second step is performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. The second step compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill, calculated using the residual method, with the carrying amount of that goodwill. If the carrying amount of the goodwill exceeds the implied fair value, the excess is recognized as an impairment loss. We conducted our annual impairment test as of October 1, 2008.

The economic conditions currently affecting the U.S. economy and how that may impact the fundamentals of our business may have a negative impact on the fair values of the assets in our reporting units. This may result in the recognition of an impairment loss when we perform our next annual impairment testing during the fourth quarter of 2009.

Because there has not been a change in the fundamentals of our business during the first quarter of 2009, we have determined that there has been no triggering event under SFAS No. 142, and as such, no interim impairment test is required as of March 31, 2009.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our unaudited consolidated financial statements as of, and for, the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, and with our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. Certain items have been reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation.

Overview

We are a wholly-owned subsidiary of Mediacom Communications Corporation ("MCC"), the nation's eighth largest cable television company based on the number of basic video subscribers, or basic subscribers, and among the leading cable operators focused on serving the smaller cities and towns in the United States. Through our interactive broadband network, we provide our customers with a wide array of advanced products and services, including video services such as video-on-demand, high-definition television ("HDTV") and digital video recorders ("DVRs"), in addition to high-speed data ("HSD") and phone service. We offer triple-play bundles of video, HSD and phone to approximately 91% of our estimated homes passed. Bundled products and services offer our customers a single provider contact for ordering, provisioning, billing and customer care.

As of March 31, 2009, our cable systems passed an estimated 1.28 million homes and served 573,000 basic subscribers in 20 states. As of the same date, we served 288,000 digital video customers, or digital customers, representing a penetration of 50.3% of our basic subscribers; 335,000 HSD customers, representing a penetration of 26.2% of our estimated homes passed; and 119,000 phone customers, representing a penetration of 10.2% of our estimated marketable phone homes.

We evaluate our performance, in part, by measuring the number of revenue generating units ("RGUs") we serve, which represent the total of basic subscribers and digital, HSD and phone customers. As of March 31, 2009, we served 1.32 million RGUs.

Recent Developments

Share Exchange Agreement between MCC and an affiliate of Morris Communications

On September 7, 2008, MCC entered into a Share Exchange Agreement (the "Exchange Agreement") with Shivers Investments, LLC ("Shivers") and Shivers Trading & Operating Company ("STOC"). Both STOC and Shivers are affiliates of Morris Communications Company, LLC ("Morris Communications").

On February 13, 2009, MCC completed the Exchange Agreement pursuant to which it exchanged 100% of the shares of stock of a wholly-owned subsidiary, which held approximately \$110 million of cash and non-strategic cable systems serving approximately 25,000 basic subscribers contributed to MCC by us, for 28,309,674 shares of MCC Class A common stock held by Shivers.

Asset Transfer Agreement with MCC and Mediacom Broadband

On February 11, 2009, certain of our operating subsidiaries executed an Asset Transfer Agreement (the "Transfer Agreement") with MCC and the operating subsidiaries of Mediacom Broadband, pursuant to which certain of our cable systems located in Florida, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri and Wisconsin, which serve approximately 45,900 basic subscribers would be exchanged for certain of Mediacom Broadband's cable systems located in Illinois, which serve approximately 42,200 basic subscribers, and a cash payment of \$8.2 million (the "Asset Transfer"). We believe the Asset Transfer will better align our customer base geographically, making our cable systems more clustered and allowing for more effective management, administration, controls and reporting of our field operations. The Asset Transfer was completed on February 13, 2009 (the "transfer date").

As part of the Transfer Agreement, we contributed to MCC cable systems located in Western North Carolina (the "WNC Systems"), which serve approximately 25,000 basic subscribers. These cable systems were part of the Exchange Agreement noted above. In connection therewith, we received a \$74 million cash contribution on February 12, 2009, of which funds had been contributed to MCC by Mediacom Broadband on the same date.

In total, we received \$82.2 million under the Transfer Agreement (the "Transfer Proceeds"), which were used by us to repay a portion of the outstanding balance under the revolving commitments of our operating subsidiaries' bank credit facility.

On February 12, 2009, after giving effect to the debt repayment funded by the Transfer Proceeds, our operating subsidiaries borrowed approximately \$110 million under the revolving commitments of our bank credit facility. This represented net new borrowings of about \$28 million. On February 12, 2009, we contributed approximately \$110 million to MCC to fund its cash obligation under the Exchange Agreement.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements — Business Combinations

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), "Business Combinations," which replaces SFAS No. 141, and requires that all business combinations be accounted for by applying the acquisition method. Under the acquisition method, the acquirer recognizes and measures the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any contingent consideration and contractual contingencies, as a whole, at their fair value as of the acquisition date, and all transaction costs are expensed as incurred. However, with a transfer of businesses between two entities under common control, the results of operations of the acquired entity for the current reporting period are reported as though the acquisition date had occurred as of the beginning of such period. SFAS No. 141(R) will be applied prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning after December 15, 2008. We adopted SFAS No. 141(R) as of January 1, 2009.

The net assets of the cable systems we received as part of the Asset Transfer were accounted for as a transfer of businesses under common control in accordance with SFAS No. 141(R). Under this method of accounting: (i) the net assets we received have been recorded at Mediacom Broadband's carrying amounts; (ii) the net assets of the cable systems we transferred to Mediacom Broadband through MCC were removed from our consolidated balance sheet at net book value on the transfer date; (iii) for the cable systems we received, we recorded their results of operations beginning as if the transfer date was January 1, 2009; and (iv) for the cable systems we transferred to Mediacom Broadband through MCC, we ceased recording those results of operations as of the transfer date.

The financial statements for the periods prior to January 1, 2009 were not adjusted for the receipt of net assets because the net assets did not meet the definition of a business under generally accepted accounting principles in effect prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 141(R). The data in the Actual Results of Operations presented below include adjustments made as a result of the adoption of SFAS No. 141(R) as described above.

Revenues, Costs and Expenses

Video revenues primarily represent monthly subscription fees charged to customers for our core cable products and services (including basic and digital cable programming services, wire maintenance, equipment rental and services to commercial establishments), pay-per-view charges, installation, reconnection and late payment fees and other ancillary revenues. HSD revenues primarily represent monthly fees charged to customers, including small to medium sized commercial establishments, for our HSD products and services and equipment rental fees, as well as fees charged to medium to large sized businesses for our scalable, fiber- based enterprise network products and services. Phone revenues primarily represent monthly fees charged to customers. Advertising revenues represent the sale of advertising time on various channels.

Significant service costs include: programming expenses; employee expenses related to wages and salaries of technical personnel who maintain our cable network, perform customer installation activities and provide customer support; HSD costs, including costs of bandwidth connectivity and customer provisioning; phone service costs, including delivery and other expenses; and field operating costs, including outside contractors, vehicle, utilities and pole rental expenses.

Video programming costs, which are generally paid on a per subscriber basis, represent our largest single expense and have historically increased due to both increases in the rates charged for existing programming services and the introduction of new programming services to our customers. These costs are expected to continue to grow principally because of contractual unit rate increases and the increasing demands of television broadcast station owners for retransmission consent fees. As a consequence, it is expected that our video gross margins will decline as increases in programming costs outpace growth in video revenues.

Significant selling, general and administrative expenses include: wages and salaries for our call centers, customer service and support and administrative personnel; franchise fees and taxes; marketing; bad debt; billing; advertising; and office costs related to telecommunications and office administration. Management fee expense reflects charges incurred under management arrangements with our parent, MCC.

Adjusted OIBDA

We define Adjusted OIBDA as operating income before depreciation and amortization and non-cash, share-based compensation charges. Adjusted OIBDA is one of the primary measures used by management to evaluate our performance and to forecast future results but is not a financial measure calculated in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the United States. It is also a significant performance measure in our annual incentive compensation programs. We believe Adjusted OIBDA is useful for investors because it enables them to assess our performance in a manner similar to the methods used by management, and provides a measure that can be used to analyze, value and compare the companies in the cable industry, which may have different depreciation and amortization policies, as well as different non-cash, share-based compensation programs. Adjusted OIBDA and similar measures are used in calculating compliance with the covenants of our debt arrangements. A limitation of Adjusted OIBDA, however, is that it excludes depreciation and amortization, which represents the periodic costs of certain capitalized tangible and intangible assets used in generating revenues in our business. Management utilizes a separate process to budget, measure and evaluate capital expenditures. In addition, Adjusted OIBDA has the limitation of not reflecting the effect of the non-cash, share-based compensation charges.

Actual Results of Operations

Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2008

The following tables set forth the unaudited consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 (dollars in thousands and percentage changes that are not meaningful are marked NM):

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|------|----------|-----------|--------|----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | | \$ Change | | % Change |
| Revenues | \$ 161,816 | \$ | 148,939 | \$ | 12,877 | 8.6% |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | | |
| Service costs (exclusive of depreciation and | | | | | | |
| amortization) | 72,180 | | 63,503 | | 8,677 | 13.7% |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 27,233 | | 26,565 | | 668 | 2.5% |
| Management fee expense | 2,984 | | 2,929 | | 55 | 1.9% |
| Depreciation and amortization | 28,593 | | 29,069 | | (476) | (1.6%) |
| Operating income | 30,826 | | 26,873 | | 3,953 | 14.7% |
| Interest expense, net | (22,046) | | (26,702) | | 4,656 | (17.4%) |
| Loss on derivatives, net | (519) | | (8,898) | | 8,379 | (94.2%) |
| Loss on sale of cable systems | (311) | | (170) | | (141) | 82.9% |
| Investment income from affiliate | 4,500 | | 4,500 | | _ | NM |
| Other expense, net | (861) | | (984) | | 123 | (12.5%) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 11,589 | \$ | (5,381) | \$ | 16,970 | NM |
| Adjusted OIBDA | \$ 59,557 | \$ | 56,078 | \$ | 3,479 | 6.2% |

The following represents a reconciliation of Adjusted OIBDA to operating income, which is the most directly comparable GAAP measure (dollars in thousands):

| | Three Mon Marc | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|------|----------|-----------|-------|----------|
| | 2009 | 2008 | | \$ Change | | % Change |
| Adjusted OIBDA | \$ 59,557 | \$ | 56,078 | \$ | 3,479 | 6.2% |
| Non-cash, share-based compensation | (138) | | (136) | | (2) | 1.5% |
| Depreciation and amortization | (28,593) | | (29,069) | | 476 | (1.6%) |
| Operating income | \$ 30,826 | \$ | 26,873 | \$ | 3,953 | 14.7% |

Revenues

The following tables set forth the unaudited revenues and selected subscriber, customer and average monthly revenue statistics for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 (dollars in thousands, except per subscriber data).

| | March 31, | | | | | |
|----------------|-----------|---------|----|---------|-----------|----------|
| | | 2009 | | 2008 | \$ Change | % Change |
| Video | \$ | 105,281 | \$ | 101,229 | 4,052 | 4.0% |
| HSD | | 40,403 | | 34,708 | 5,695 | 16.4% |
| Phone | | 12,324 | | 8,434 | 3,890 | 46.1% |
| Advertising | | 3,808 | | 4,568 | (760) | (16.6%) |
| Total Revenues | \$ | 161,816 | \$ | 148,939 | 12,877 | 8.6% |

| | 7 | Three Mor | ths 1 | Ended | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | March 31, | | | Iı | ncrease/ | | |
| | 2 | 2009 | | 2008 | (D | ecrease) | % Change |
| Basic subscribers | | 573,000 | | 604,000 | | (31,000) | (5.1%) |
| Digital customers | | 288,000 | | 253,000 | | 35,000 | 13.8% |
| HSD customers | | 335,000 | | 314,000 | | 21,000 | 6.7% |
| Phone customers | | 119,000 | | 90,000 | | 29,000 | 32.2% |
| RGUs(1) | 1, | 315,000 | | 1,261,000 | | 54,000 | 4.3% |
| Average total monthly revenue per basic subscriber (2) | \$ | 91.89 | \$ | 82.20 | \$ | 9.69 | 11.8% |

- (1) RGUs represent the total of basic subscribers and digital, HSD and phone customers.
- (2) Represents total average monthly revenues for the quarter divided by total average basic subscribers for such period.

Revenues increased \$12.9 million, or 8.6%, of which \$7.4 million was largely attributable to growth in our HSD and phone customers, offset in part by the February 2009 disposition of 25,000 basic subscribers in the WNC Systems and a sharp reduction in advertising sales. The remaining increase of \$5.5 million was related to the accounting treatment of the Asset Transfer, as described above in *Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements* — *Business Combinations*. RGUs grew 4.3%, and average total monthly revenue per basic subscriber increased \$9.69, or 11.8%, compared to the prior year period, of which \$3.14 was related to the Asset Transfer.

Video revenues grew \$4.1 million, or 4.0%, of which \$3.6 million was related to the Asset Transfer. The remaining increase of \$0.5 million was primarily due to digital customer growth and higher fees from our other advanced products and services, mostly offset by disposition of 25,000 basic subscribers in the WNC Systems. During the three months ended March 31, 2009, we gained 700 basic subscribers, excluding the impact of the disposition of the WNC Systems and an additional 3,700 basic subscribers under the Asset Transfer, as compared to no change during the prior year period. Digital customers grew by 9,000 during the three months ended March 31, 2009, excluding the disposition of 10,000 digital customers in the WNC Systems and the acquisition of 1,000 digital customers under the Asset Transfer, as compared to an increase of 13,000 in the prior year period. As of March 31, 2009, 33.4% of digital customers were taking our DVR and/or HDTV services, as compared to 30.7% at the end of the prior year period.

HSD revenues rose \$5.7 million, or 16.4%, of which \$4.2 million was primarily due to a 6.7% year-over-year increase in HSD customers, offset in part by the disposition of 13,000 HSD customers in the WNC Systems, with the remaining increase of \$1.5 million related to the Asset Transfer. During the three months ended March 31, 2009, HSD customers grew by 10,000, excluding the impact of the disposition of the WNC Systems and the acquisition of 1,000 HSD customers under the Asset Transfer, as compared to a gain of 15,000 in the prior year period.

Phone revenues grew \$3.9 million, or 46.1%, of which \$3.8 million was mainly due to a 32.2% year-over-year increase in phone customers and, to a lesser extent, a reduction in discounted pricing. During the three months ended March 31, 2009, phone customers grew by 7,400, excluding the disposition of 3,000 phone customers in the WNC Systems and the acquisition of 600 phone customers under the Asset Transfer, as compared to a gain of 11,000 in the prior year period. As of March 31, 2009, our phone service was marketed to 91% of our estimated 1.3 million homes passed.

Advertising revenues decreased \$0.8 million, or 16.6%, offset in part by \$0.4 million of advertising revenues related to the Asset Transfer. The \$1.2 million decrease before the impact of the Asset Transfer was largely as a result of sharp decreases in local advertising, particularly in the automotive segment.

Costs and Expenses

Service costs increased \$8.7 million, or 13.7%, primarily due to increases in programming, personnel and phone service costs, offset in part by \$2.5 million of service costs related to the Asset Transfer. The following analysis of service cost components excludes the effects of the Asset Transfer. Programming expenses grew 9.0%, principally as a result of higher contractual rates charged by our programming vendors and, to a lesser extent, and increase in retransmission consent fees. Personnel costs rose 19.9%, largely due to a favorable insurance claim experience in the prior year period, higher staffing and compensation levels and overtime charges related to storm activity. Phone service costs increased 24.9%, principally as a result of unit growth. Service costs as a percentage of revenues were 44.6% and 42.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$0.7 million, or 2.5%, largely as a result of \$0.8 million of selling, general and administrative expenses related to the Asset Transfer, and higher customer service employee costs and taxes and fees, mostly offset by lower marketing, advertising and billing expenses. The following analysis of selling, general and administrative expense components exclude the effects of the Asset Transfer. Customer service employee costs rose 10.4%, primarily due to higher staffing levels at our call centers. Taxes and fees increased 10.1%, due to higher franchise fees and property taxes in certain of our service areas. Marketing and advertising costs fell 9.9% and 19.3%, respectively, largely as a result of lower costs directly related to activity. Billing expenses declined 13.2%, principally due to lower processing fees. Selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenues were 16.8% and 17.8% for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Management fee expense increased 1.8%, reflecting higher overhead charges at MCC. Management fee expenses as a percentage of revenues were 1.8% and 2.0% for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Depreciation and amortization decreased \$0.5 million, or 1.6%, which includes a \$0.5 million offset related to the Asset Transfer. The \$1.0 million decrease before the impact of the Asset Transfer was largely as a result of an increase in the useful lives of certain fixed assets, offset in part by increased depreciation on the deployment of shorter-lived customer premise equipment and write-offs related to ice storms in certain of our service areas.

Adjusted OIBDA

Adjusted OIBDA increased \$3.5 million, or 6.2%, of which \$2.2 million was related to the Asset Transfer. The remaining \$1.3 million was primarily due to customer growth in HSD and phone, offset in part by higher service costs, the disposition of 25,000 basic subscribers in the WNC Systems and, to a lesser extent, sharply lower advertising revenues.

Operating Income

Operating income grew \$4.0 million, or 14.7%, of which \$2.3 million a result of the increase in Adjusted OIBDA and lower depreciation and amortization, with the remaining \$1.7 million related to the Asset Transfer.

Interest Expense, Net

Interest expense, net, decreased 17.4%, primarily due to lower market interest rates on variable rate debt, offset in part by higher average indebtedness.

Loss on Derivatives, Net

As of March 31, 2009, we had interest rate exchange agreements, or interest rate swaps, with an aggregate notional amount of \$1.2 billion, of which \$700 million are forward starting. These swaps have not been designated as hedges for accounting purposes. The changes in their mark-to-market values are derived primarily from changes in market interest rates and the decrease in their time to maturity. As a result of the quarterly mark-to-market valuation of these interest rate swaps, we recorded a net loss on derivatives of \$0.5 million and \$8.9 million, based upon information provided by our counterparties, for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Investment Income from Affiliate

Investment income from affiliate was \$4.5 million for each of the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008. This amount represents the investment income on our \$150.0 million preferred equity investment in Mediacom Broadband LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of MCC.

Other Expense, Net

Other expense, net was \$0.9 million and \$1.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. During the three months ended March 31, 2009, other expense, net, included \$0.4 million for revolving credit facility commitment fees, \$0.2 million of deferred financing costs, and \$0.3 million of other fees. During the three months ended March 31, 2008, other expenses, net, included \$0.6 million of revolving credit facility commitment fees and \$0.4 million of deferred financing costs.

Net Income (Loss)

As a result of the factors described above, we recognized net income of \$11.6 million, of which \$1.7 million was related to the Asset Transfer for the three months ended March 31, 2009, compared to a net loss of \$5.4 million for the prior year period.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overview

We have invested, and will continue to invest, in our network. The focus of our capital spending is to enhance our reliability, as well as our capacity to accommodate customer growth and to further deploy our advanced products and services. Although we have a high level of indebtedness and incur significant amounts of interest expense each year, we believe that through a combination of our net cash flows from operating activities, borrowing availability under our bank credit facility and our ability to secure future external financing, we will meet our interest expenses and principal payments, capital spending and other requirements. Nevertheless, there is no assurance that we will be able to obtain sufficient future financing or, if we were able to do so, that the terms would be favorable to us.

As of March 31, 2009, our total debt was \$1.543 billion. Of this amount, \$37.0 million matures during the year ending March 31, 2010. As of the same date, about 73% of our outstanding indebtedness was at fixed interest rates or subject to interest rate protection. During the three months ended March 31, 2009, we paid cash interest of \$38.2 million, net of capitalized interest.

Recent Developments in the Credit Markets

In light of the unprecedented volatility in financial markets, we continue to assess the impact, if any, of recent market developments, including the bankruptcy, restructuring or merging of certain banks on our financial position. These assessments include a review of our continued access to liquidity in the credit markets, as well as counterparty creditworthiness.

Despite a severely tightened credit environment, we believe we have sufficient liquidity to meet our requirements over the next two years, which include debt maturities of \$22.9 million during the remainder of 2009 and \$56.5 million of debt maturities in 2010. In addition to our cash flows from operating activities, we also have available to us unused revolving credit commitments aggregating \$280.5 million as of March 31, 2009.

The turmoil in the financial markets may create additional risks in the foreseeable future. If the financial markets fail to stabilize and recover over the foreseeable future, we will likely face higher future borrowing costs on our indebtedness than we currently pay. Also, the failure of additional banks could reduce amounts available to us under our revolving credit commitments or subject us to credit risk with respect to our interest rate exchange agreements. At this time, we are not aware of any of our counterparty banks being in a position where they would be unable to fulfill their obligations to us. Although we may be exposed to future losses in the event of such counterparties' non-performance, we do not expect such losses, if any, to be material.

Operating Activities

Net cash flows provided by operating activities were \$35.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, primarily due to Adjusted OIBDA of \$59.6 million, offset in part by interest expense of \$22.0 million and, to a lesser extent, the \$6.3 million change in our operating assets and liabilities. The net change in our operating assets and liabilities was primarily due to an decrease in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities of \$8.7 million, offset in part by a decrease in accounts receivable, net, of \$2.1 million and a decrease in prepaid expenses and other assets of \$0.9 million.

Net cash flows provided by operating activities were \$38.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008, primarily due to Adjusted OIBDA of \$56.1 million and, to a lesser extent, the change in our operating assets and liabilities of \$5.4 million, offset in part by interest expense of \$26.7 million. The net change in our operating assets and liabilities was primarily due to an increase in accounts payable, accrued expense and other current liabilities of \$3.8 million and, to a lesser extent, a decrease in accounts receivable, net, of \$1.2 million and an increase in deferred revenue of \$1.0 million.

Investing Activities

Net cash flows used in investing activities, which consisted entirely of capital expenditures, were \$23.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, as compared to \$27.9 million for the prior year period. The decrease in capital expenditures of \$4.0 million was primarily due to higher spending in the prior year period on customer premise equipment and scalable infrastructure for digital transition deployment and HSD requirements and vehicle purchases. This decrease was offset in part by greater capital improvements and network replacement related to storm activity.

Financing Activities

Net cash flows used in financing activities were \$7.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009, primarily due to our capital contribution to MCC of \$110.0 million, substantially offset by a capital contribution from MCC of \$82.2 million, and net bank borrowings of \$23.0 million under our revolving credit facility. In February 2009, we made a \$110.0 million capital contribution to MCC to fund its cash obligation under the Exchange Agreement. At the same time, we received an \$82.2 million capital contribution from MCC under the Transfer Agreement, comprising an \$8.2 million payment related to the Asset Transfer, and a \$74.0 million payment for our contribution of the WNC systems to MCC.

Net cash flows used in financing activities were \$10.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008, primarily due to other financing activities of \$9.2 million, offset in part by net bank repayment of debt of \$1.6 million.

Bank Credit Facility

Our principal operating subsidiaries maintain a \$1.209 billion bank credit facility (the "credit facility"), of which \$0.918 billion was outstanding as of March 31, 2009. Continued access to our credit facility is dependant upon our compliance with the covenants of such credit facility, principally the requirement that we maintain a maximum ratio of total senior debt to cash flow, as defined in the credit agreement, of 6.0 to 1.0. Our total senior debt to cash flow ratio was 3.6 to 1.0 for the first quarter of 2009. The average interest rates on outstanding debt under our credit facility as of March 31, 2009 and 2008 were 4.6% and 5.5%, respectively, including the effect of the interest rate exchange agreements discussed below.

As of March 31, 2009, we had unused revolving credit commitments of \$280.5 million under our credit facility, all of which could be borrowed and used for general corporate purposes based on the terms and conditions of our debt arrangements. All of our revolver commitments expire on September 30, 2011, and are not subject to scheduled reductions prior to maturity.

As of March 31, 2009, approximately \$10.9 million of letters of credit were issued under our credit facility to various parties as collateral for our performance relating to insurance and franchise requirements.

Interest Rate Swaps

We use interest rate exchange agreements, or interest rate swaps, in order to fix the rate of the applicable Eurodollar portion of debt under our credit facility to reduce the potential volatility in our interest expense that would otherwise result from changes in market interest rates. As of March 31, 2009, we had current interest rate swaps with various banks pursuant to which the interest rate on \$500 million was fixed at a weighted average rate of 4.6%. As of the same date, about 73% of our total outstanding indebtedness was at fixed market rates, or subject to interest rate protection. Our current interest rate swaps are scheduled to expire in the amounts of \$300 million and \$200 million during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2010, respectively.

We have entered into forward starting interest rate swaps that will fix rate for a two year period at a weighted average rate of 3.2% on \$300 million of floating rate debt, which will commence during the remainder of 2009, and 2.7% on \$200 million of floating rate debt, which will commence in 2010. We also entered into forward starting interest rate swaps that will fix rates for a three year period at a weighted average rate of 2.8% on \$200 million of floating rate debt, which will commence during the remainder of 2009.

Although we may be exposed to future losses in the event of counterparties' non-performance, we do not expect such losses, if any, to be material. Our interest rate swaps have not been designated as hedges for accounting purposes, and have been accounted for on a mark-to-market basis as of, and for, the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008.

The fair value of our interest rate swaps is the estimated amount that we would receive or pay to terminate such agreements, taking into account market interest rates and the remaining time to maturities. As of March 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008, based on the mark-to-market valuation, we recorded on our consolidated balance sheets an accumulated liability for derivatives of \$33.3 million and \$32.8 million, respectively. As a result of the mark-to-market valuations on these interest rate swaps, we recorded a net loss on derivatives of \$0.5 million and \$8.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Senior Notes

We have issued senior notes totaling \$625 million as of March 31, 2009. The indentures governing our senior notes contain financial and other covenants, though they are generally less restrictive than those found in our credit facility, and do not require us to maintain any financial ratios. Principal covenants include a limitation on the incurrence of additional indebtedness based upon a maximum ratio of total indebtedness to cash flow, as defined in these agreements, of 7.0 to 1.0. These agreements also contain limitations on dividends, investments and distributions.

Covenant Compliance and Debt Ratings

For all periods through March 31, 2009, we were in compliance with all of the covenants under our credit facility and senior note arrangements. There are no covenants, events of default, borrowing conditions or other terms in our credit facility or senior note arrangements that are based on changes in our credit rating assigned by any rating agency. We do not believe that we will have any difficulty complying with any of the applicable covenants in the foreseeable future.

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

There have been no material changes to our contractual obligations and commercial commitments as previously disclosed in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of our financial statements requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Periodically, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to doubtful accounts, long-lived assets, capitalized costs and accruals. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We believe that the application of the critical accounting policies requires significant judgments and estimates on the part of management. For a summary of our critical accounting policies, please refer to our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

In accordance with SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," the amortization of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets is prohibited and requires such assets to be tested annually for impairment, or more frequently if impairment indicators arise. We have determined that our cable franchise rights and goodwill are indefinite-lived assets and therefore not amortizable.

We directly assess the value of cable franchise rights for impairment under SFAS No. 142 by utilizing a discounted cash flow methodology. In performing an impairment test in accordance with SFAS No. 142, we make assumptions, such as future cash flow expectations and other future benefits related to cable franchise rights, which are consistent with the expectations of buyers and sellers of cable systems in determining fair value. If the determined fair value of our cable franchise rights is less than the carrying amount on the financial statements, an impairment charge would be recognized for the difference between the fair value and the carrying value of such assets.

Goodwill impairment is determined using a two-step process. The first step compares the fair value of a reporting unit with our carrying amount, including goodwill. If the fair value of a reporting unit exceeds our carrying amount, goodwill of the reporting unit is considered not impaired and the second step is unnecessary. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds our fair value, the second step is performed to measure the amount of impairment loss, if any. The second step compares the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill, calculated using the residual method, with the carrying amount of that goodwill. If the carrying amount of the goodwill exceeds the implied fair value, the excess is recognized as an impairment loss. We conducted our annual impairment test as of October 1, 2008.

The economic conditions currently affecting the U.S. economy and how that may impact the fundamentals of our business may have a negative impact on the fair values of the assets in our reporting units. This may result in the recognition of an impairment loss when we perform our next annual impairment testing during the fourth quarter of 2009.

Because there has not been a change in the fundamentals of our business during the first quarter of 2009, we have determined that there has been no triggering event under SFAS No. 142, and as such, no interim impairment test is required as of March 31, 2009.

Inflation and Changing Prices

Our systems' costs and expenses are subject to inflation and price fluctuations. Such changes in costs and expenses can generally be passed through to subscribers. Programming costs have historically increased at rates in excess of inflation and are expected to continue to do so. We believe that under the Federal Communications Commission's existing cable rate regulations we may increase rates for cable television services to more than cover any increases in programming. However, competitive conditions and other factors in the marketplace may limit our ability to increase our rates.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There have been no significant changes to the information required under this Item from what was disclosed in Item 7A of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Mediacom LLC

Under the supervision and with the participation of the management of Mediacom LLC ("Mediacom"), including Mediacom's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, Mediacom evaluated the effectiveness of Mediacom's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, Mediacom's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that Mediacom's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2009.

There has not been any change in Mediacom's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the quarter ended March 31, 2009 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, Mediacom's internal control over financial reporting.

Mediacom Capital Corporation

Under the supervision and with the participation of the management of Mediacom Capital Corporation ("Mediacom Capital"), including Mediacom Capital's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, Mediacom Capital evaluated the effectiveness of Mediacom Capital's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, Mediacom Capital's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that Mediacom Capital's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2009.

There has not been any change in Mediacom Capital's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the quarter ended March 31, 2009 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, Mediacom Capital's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

See Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes in the risk factors from those disclosed in Item 1A of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description |
|-------------------|---|
| 31.1 | Rule 15d-14(a) Certifications of Mediacom LLC |
| 31.2 | Rule 15d-14(a) Certifications of Mediacom Capital Corporation |
| 32.1 | Section 1350 Certifications of Mediacom LLC |
| 32.2 | Section 1350 Certifications of Mediacom Capital Corporation |
| | |
| | 25 |

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

MEDIACOM LLC

May 15, 2009 By: /s/ Mark E. Stephan

Mark E. Stephan

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

MEDIACOM CAPITAL CORPORATION

May 15, 2009

By: /s/ Mark E. Stephan

Mark E. Stephan

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description |
|-------------------|---|
| 31.1 | Rule 15d-14(a) Certifications of Mediacom LLC |
| 31.2 | Rule 15d-14(a) Certifications of Mediacom Capital Corporation |
| 32.1 | Section 1350 Certifications of Mediacom LLC |
| 32.2 | Section 1350 Certifications of Mediacom Capital Corporation |

- I, Rocco B. Commisso, certify that:
- (1) I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of Mediacom LLC;
- (2) Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- (3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- (4) The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and l5d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- (5) The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

May 15, 2009 By: /s/ Rocco B. Commisso

Rocco B. Commisso

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

- I, Mark E. Stephan, certify that:
- (1) I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of Mediacom LLC;
- (2) Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- (3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- (4) The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- (5) The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

May 15, 2009

By: /s/ Mark E. Stephan

Mark E. Stephan

- I, Rocco B. Commisso, certify that:
- (1) I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of Mediacom Capital Corporation;
- (2) Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and l5d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

May 15, 2009 By: /s/ Rocco B. Commisso

Rocco B. Commisso

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

- I, Mark E. Stephan, certify that:
- (1) I have reviewed this report on Form 10-Q of Mediacom Capital Corporation;
- (2) Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- (3) Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- (4) The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- (5) The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

May 15, 2009

By: /s/ Mark E. Stephan

Mark E. Stephan

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Mediacom LLC (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2009 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), Rocco B. Commisso, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and Mark E. Stephan, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

May 15, 2009 By: /s/ Rocco B. Commisso

Rocco B. Commisso

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Mark E. Stephan

Mark E. Stephan

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Mediacom Capital Corporation (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2009 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), Rocco B. Commisso, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and Mark E. Stephan, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) the Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

May 15, 2009 By: /s/ Rocco B. Commisso

Rocco B. Commisso

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Mark E. Stephan

Mark E. Stephan